

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,463	01/04/2001	Akira Arai	9319A-000183	3626
7590 11/28/2003			EXAMINER	
Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.			Sheehan, John P	
P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT PAPER I	PAPER NUMBER
			1742 DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
· wings	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/754,463	ARAI ET AL.				
, in the second	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John P. Sheehan	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) 🖾 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \(\square\) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5 and 7-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-26.						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:		July P. Sheehan				
S. Dahart and Touloned Office		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1742				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/754,4634

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendemnt to the claims, the new lower limit to the coercive force range and the particle size range, have never previously appeared in the claims and therefore are considered to raise new issues. For example, does the new coercive force range having a lowder limit of 400 kA/m patentably disinguish over Panchanathan's Alloy N having a coercive force of 399.5 kA/m? Further, this new limit raises the issue of new matter in that there is no support in the specification as filed for this new limit. The particle size limitation raises new issues in that applicants' are comparing the instatnly claimed particle size range to Panchanathan's preferred range, is Panchanathan limit to the prefered disclosed range?..